



Effect of microbial bio-inoculant (VAM- *Glomus fa sciculatum*) and bio-formulations on growth, yield and quality of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.)

Chandalinga*¹, N.K. Hegde² and C.P. Patil³

¹K.R.C. College of Horticulture, Arabhavi, Gokak, Belagavi, India; ²Department of PSMAC, College of Horticulture, Sirsi, Uttara Kannada, India

³Department of Agricultural Microbiology, Division of NRM, K.R.C. College of Horticulture, Arabhavi, Gokak, India

*e-mail: chandanhort@gmail.com

(Received: September 06, 2015; Revised received: April 26, 2016; Accepted: April 28, 2016)

Abstract: A field trial was carried out during 2012-13. Among the different treatments, inoculation with *G. fasciculatum* recorded significantly higher pseudostem girth (53.02 mm), number of leaves per clump (8.64), number of tillers per clump (8.64) and cured yield (9.25 t/ha) than the control (uninoculated). Processing percentage (30.45), curcumin content (4.42 %) and volatile oil content (1.17 %) were highest in turmeric crop inoculated with *G. fasciculatum*. Among nine bio-formulation treatments, the highest pseudostem girth (65.62 mm), number of leaves per clump (87.64), number of tillers per clump (8.93) and cured yield (9.79 t/ha) was recorded by T₉ receiving the application of RDF + panchagavya + amritpani + mulch (Sugar cane trash) + *Trichoderma* spray (2.5 %) on mulch + agnihotra ash + triambakamhoma ash followed by T₈ (8.91 t/ha) receiving RDF + panchagavya + amritpani + mulch (Sugar cane trash) + *Trichoderma* spray (2.5 %) on mulch compared to the lowest in T₁ (5.45 t/ha) receiving only RDF (180:90:90 kg NPK/ha and 25 t FYM/ha). Eventhough turmeric is a nutrient exhaustive crop, the results confirm possibility of production of turmeric with maximum yield and good quality produce by applying *G. fasciculatum* and bioformulations as against applying only synthetic fertilizers.

Key words: Turmeric, Bioinoculants, Bioformulations, RDF, VAM

Introduction

Turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) belonging to the family Zingiberaceae is an important tropical rhizomatous spice crop, native to tropical South-East Asia. It has been a well-known condiment and coloring agent since time immemorial. It is cultivated for its underground rhizome which is used as spice and condiment, dye stuff and in cosmetic and drug industry, particularly in the preparation of anticancer medicines. It is also used as herbal medicine 'Amraharidra', which gives a cooling, aromatic effect and promotes digestion (Srivastava *et al.*, 2003). The pungent aromatic flavor is mainly due to the presence of colouring agent 'Curcumin'.

The use of bio-fertilizers and bio-formulations occupy an important place as they help in availability and supply of plant nutrients thereby, providing a scope for reduction in use of costly chemical fertilizers which pollute soil in long term (Kale *et al.*, 1991). It is reported that 10 to 20 per cent of crop yield can be increased with biofertilizer application (Brown, 1972). The increasing concern about the environment and socio-economic impact of chemical agriculture has led to seek alternative practices for agricultural sustainability and marketability by progressive farmers. Therefore, chemical free traditional farming technologies such as organic, biodynamics, agnihotrahoma, panchagavya, amritpani, rishi krishi, jeevamrutha *etc.*, are gaining a new momentum not only in India, but also world over (Singh *et al.*, 2007). These systems offer a means to address

self-reliance, rural upliftment and conservation of natural resources. Keeping these points in view, the present investigation was undertaken with the objectives to know the influence of VAM on growth, yield and quality in turmeric and to assess the effect of microbial bio-inoculant (VAM) and bio-formulations on growth, yield and quality of turmeric.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was laid out in split-plot design with two main (with VAM and without VAM) and nine sub-treatments (Bio-formulations) at the Department of Plantation, Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic Crops, Kittur Rani Channamma College of Horticulture, Arabhavi (16°15' N latitude and 74°45' E longitude, at an altitude of 612 m above mean sea level), Karnataka during 2012-2013. The main plot treatments were M₁-With VAM and M₂-Without VAM and sub-plot treatments were T₁: RDF (180: 90: 90 NPK kg/ha and 25 t/ha FYM), T₂: T₁ + Vermiwash at 10% dilution (Drenching), T₃: T₁ + PG at 3% dilution (Drenching), T₄: T₁ + AP at 3% dilution (Drenching), T₅: T₁ + Vermiwash at 10% dilution (Drenching and spraying), T₆: T₁ + PG at 3% dilution (Drenching and spraying), T₇: T₁ + AP at 3% dilution (Drenching and spraying), T₈: T₁ + PG + AP + Mulch (Sugar cane trash) + TH (2.5 %) spray on mulch, T₉: T₈ + Agnihotra ash + Triambakam homa ash, T₂ to T₇ - Drenching/spraying was done at monthly intervals from beginning of the crop. PG= Panchagavya (3%), AP=Amritpani (3%), TH= *Trichoderma*

harzianum(2.5%). An isolation distance (No crop/ weed) of 10 m was given between main plots i.e. with VAM and without VAM.

A spacing of 45 cm between rows and 22.5 cm between the plants (ridge and furrow method) was followed and Farm yard manure (25 t/ha) was applied to all the beds before planting the seed rhizome and mixed well with the soil. Fertilizers (N: P₂O₅: K₂O) were applied in the form of urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash. The recommended dose of fertilizers (i.e., 180, 90 and 90 kg NPK/ ha) was applied in two splits. Half dose of nitrogen and full dose of phosphorus and potassium were applied as basal dose. Remaining half dose of nitrogen was top dressed at 45 days after planting after completion of weeding. Shade dried, healthy seed rhizomes were used for planting. The inoculation of VAM fungus (*Glomus fasciculatum*) to turmeric was done during planting by applying five grams per rhizome. Thousand ml of vermivash diluted in 10 litre water (10%) was applied to soil and on foliage in each plot (4.86 m²) at monthly intervals from beginning of the crop. Bioformulations (Panchagavya @ 3%, Amritpani @ 3%) and sugar cane trash was used as mulching material as per the treatment details. *Trichoderma harzianum* culture was sprayed over sugar cane trash (mulch) at 2.5 per cent concentration on the day of planting. Agnihotra and Triambakam homa ash were applied at the rate of five gram per plant at monthly intervals from the beginning of the crop. Farm yard manure (25 t/ha) was applied to all the beds before planting the seed rhizome and mixed well with the soil. The recommended dose of fertilizers (i.e., 180, 90 and 90 kg NPK/ ha) was applied in two splits. Half dose of nitrogen and full dose of phosphorus and potassium were applied as basal dose. Remaining half dose of nitrogen was top dressed at 45 days after planting after completion of weeding. All cultural practices were carried out uniformly. The data was recorded for different growth and yield parameters from five tagged plants and was statistically analyzed.

Results and Discussion

The different bioformulations treatments with combination of VAM (*Glomus fasciculatum*) significantly influenced the growth and yield of turmeric (Table 1 and 2).

Vegetative parameters: Growth attributes such as plant height, pseudostem girth, number of leaves per clump, number of tillers per clump and leaf area index at 180 DAP were higher in VAM inoculated plants (M₁) compared to uninoculated control (M₂). Inoculation of VAM (*Glomus fasciculatum*) might have enhanced the uptake of nutrients by the plant and increased the plant growth by increasing the uptake of 'P' and other minor elements like Mg, Mn, Zn, Cu and Fe (Borea, 1991). Jackson and Brown (1966) reported that VAM fungus is also known to help in the synthesis of growth promoting substances like IAA and GA. Further, VAM fungi are known to influence on water uptake (Tinker, 1975). The inoculation with different species of VAM recorded a significant increase in growth compared to uninoculated turmeric plants (Kumar, 2004).

Vegetative parameters were higher in T₉ (RDF + Panchagavya + Amritpani + Mulch (Sugar cane trash) + *Trichoderma* (2.5 %) spray on mulch + Agnihotra ash + Triambakam homa ash) which was *on par* with T₈ (RDF + Panchagavya + Amritpani + Mulch (Sugar cane trash) + *Trichoderma* (2.5 %) spray on mulch) compared to the treatment T₁ (RDF). Among interaction treatments, T₉M₁ i.e., application of VAM and RDF + Panchagavya + Amritpani + Mulch (Sugar cane trash) + *Trichoderma* (2.5 %) spray on mulch + Agnihotra ash + Triambakam homa ash showed higher growth attributes compared to rest of the treatments. The increased growth attributes is mainly due to the early and higher sprouting percentage leading to rapid development of root system and successful establishment of turmeric crop in the field. Further, *Trichoderma harzianum* and bio-formulations, viz., panchagavya, amritpani, agnihotra ash and Triambakam homa

Table-1: Effect of microbial bio-inoculant (VAM- *Glomus fasciculatum*) and bio-formulations on vegetative parameters in turmeric cv. Salem at 180 days after planting

| Treatment (S) | Plant height (cm) | | Pseudostem girth (mm) | | No. of leaves /clump | | | No. of tillers /clump | | | Leaf area index | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|
| | M ₁ | M ₂ | M ₁ | M ₂ | M ₁ | M ₂ | M ₁ | M ₂ | M ₁ | M ₂ | M ₁ | M ₂ | | | |
| | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | | | |
| T ₁ | 7.60 | 7.07 | 7.33 | 44.24 | 37.90 | 41.07 | 66.95 | 57.53 | 62.24 | 7.60 | 7.07 | 7.33 | 4.47 | 3.94 | 4.20 |
| T ₂ | 7.93 | 7.13 | 7.53 | 47.50 | 44.54 | 46.02 | 70.93 | 60.92 | 65.93 | 7.93 | 7.13 | 7.53 | 5.47 | 4.64 | 5.05 |
| T ₃ | 8.13 | 7.20 | 7.67 | 48.33 | 45.93 | 47.13 | 74.67 | 62.00 | 68.33 | 8.13 | 7.20 | 7.67 | 5.62 | 4.95 | 5.28 |
| T ₄ | 8.40 | 7.27 | 7.83 | 49.28 | 46.14 | 47.71 | 78.97 | 63.35 | 71.16 | 8.40 | 7.27 | 7.83 | 5.69 | 5.27 | 5.48 |
| T ₅ | 8.53 | 7.33 | 7.93 | 49.85 | 46.74 | 48.29 | 83.00 | 63.49 | 73.25 | 8.53 | 7.33 | 7.93 | 6.09 | 5.38 | 5.74 |
| T ₆ | 8.60 | 7.60 | 8.10 | 53.28 | 47.40 | 50.34 | 85.55 | 68.44 | 76.99 | 8.60 | 7.60 | 8.10 | 6.23 | 5.62 | 5.92 |
| T ₇ | 9.00 | 7.80 | 8.40 | 55.01 | 52.99 | 54.00 | 88.19 | 71.39 | 79.79 | 9.00 | 7.80 | 8.40 | 6.59 | 5.65 | 6.12 |
| T ₈ | 9.60 | 7.87 | 8.73 | 56.26 | 53.82 | 55.04 | 95.84 | 71.40 | 83.62 | 9.60 | 7.87 | 8.73 | 6.60 | 5.95 | 6.27 |
| T ₉ | 9.93 | 7.93 | 8.93 | 73.39 | 57.85 | 65.62 | 103.32 | 71.96 | 87.64 | 9.93 | 7.93 | 8.93 | 6.84 | 6.14 | 6.49 |
| Mean | 8.64 | 7.47 | 8.33 | 53.02 | 48.14 | 53.02 | 83.05 | 65.61 | 76.64 | 8.64 | 7.47 | 8.33 | 5.95 | 5.28 | 5.88 |
| For comparison of mean | S.E.m | C.D. | CV | S.E.m | C.D. | CV | S.E.m | C.D. | CV | S.E.m | C.D. | CV | S.E.m | C.D. | CV |
| | ± | at 5% | (%) | ± | at 5% | (%) | ± | at 5% | (%) | ± | at 5% | (%) | ± | at 5% | (%) |
| M | 0.10 | 0.59 | 6.26 | 0.62 | 3.80 | 6.41 | 0.55 | 3.33 | 3.82 | 0.10 | 0.59 | 6.26 | 0.05 | 0.29 | 4.46 |
| S | 0.19 | 0.53 | 5.64 | 1.51 | 4.35 | 7.31 | 1.95 | 5.63 | 6.44 | 0.19 | 0.53 | 5.64 | 0.13 | 0.37 | 5.62 |
| S at same level of M | 0.26 | 0.76 | | 2.14 | 6.15 | | 2.76 | 7.96 | | 0.26 | 0.76 | | 0.18 | 0.53 | |
| S at same or different level of M | 0.27 | 0.87 | | 2.11 | 6.75 | | 2.66 | 8.34 | | 0.27 | 0.87 | | 0.18 | 0.57 | |

Table-2: Effect of microbial bio-inoculant (VAM- *Glomus fasciculatum*) and bio-formulations on yield and yield attributes in turmeric cv. Salem

| Treatment (S) | No. of rhizomes /clump | | Rhizome clump size (cm ²) | | | Fresh rhizome yield (g/clump) | | | Fresh plot yield (kg/4.86 m ²) | | | Fresh yield (t/ha) | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--|----------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|
| | Mean | | Mean | | | Mean | | | Mean | | | Mean | | | |
| | M ₁ | M ₂ | M ₁ | M ₂ | M ₁ | M ₂ | M ₁ | M ₂ | M ₁ | M ₂ | M ₁ | M ₂ | M ₁ | M ₂ | |
| T ₁ | 22.37 | 20.90 | 21.64 | 12.12 | 11.60 | 11.86 | 288.67 | 284.13 | 286.40 | 11.19 | 10.22 | 10.71 | 23.03 | 21.03 | 22.03 |
| T ₂ | 26.33 | 25.40 | 25.87 | 12.21 | 11.60 | 11.91 | 369.09 | 309.05 | 339.07 | 13.02 | 10.54 | 11.78 | 26.79 | 21.68 | 24.24 |
| T ₃ | 28.07 | 26.45 | 27.26 | 13.03 | 11.79 | 12.41 | 393.04 | 327.27 | 360.15 | 13.51 | 10.57 | 12.04 | 27.79 | 21.74 | 24.77 |
| T ₄ | 30.71 | 29.21 | 29.96 | 13.42 | 12.21 | 12.81 | 397.87 | 331.73 | 364.80 | 13.95 | 10.75 | 12.35 | 28.70 | 22.11 | 25.41 |
| T ₅ | 34.75 | 30.11 | 32.43 | 14.70 | 12.24 | 13.47 | 402.63 | 346.10 | 374.37 | 14.13 | 10.81 | 12.47 | 29.07 | 22.24 | 25.66 |
| T ₆ | 35.52 | 31.17 | 33.35 | 14.48 | 13.14 | 13.81 | 413.64 | 374.67 | 394.16 | 15.60 | 12.08 | 13.84 | 32.10 | 24.86 | 28.48 |
| T ₇ | 38.79 | 33.42 | 36.11 | 19.63 | 18.57 | 19.10 | 414.71 | 379.07 | 396.89 | 16.36 | 12.26 | 14.31 | 33.66 | 25.22 | 29.44 |
| T ₈ | 39.27 | 33.45 | 36.36 | 21.29 | 18.91 | 20.10 | 416.41 | 379.33 | 397.87 | 16.56 | 12.64 | 14.60 | 34.07 | 26.00 | 30.03 |
| T ₉ | 44.00 | 36.79 | 40.40 | 21.77 | 19.30 | 20.54 | 423.30 | 389.90 | 406.60 | 17.33 | 12.83 | 15.08 | 35.67 | 26.40 | 31.03 |
| Mean | 33.31 | 29.66 | | 15.85 | 14.37 | | 391.04 | 346.81 | | 14.63 | 11.41 | | 30.10 | 23.48 | |
| For comparison of mean | S.Em | C.D. | CV | S.Em | C.D. | CV | S.Em | C.D. | CV | S.Em | C.D. | CV | S.Em | C.D. | CV |
| | ± | at 5% | (%) | ± | at 5% | (%) | ± | at 5% | (%) | ± | at 5% | (%) | ± | at 5% | (%) |
| M | 0.20 | 1.23 | 3.33 | 0.27 | 1.62 | 9.16 | 2.01 | 12.24 | 2.83 | 0.06 | 0.35 | 2.30 | 0.12 | 0.72 | 2.31 |
| S | 0.75 | 2.16 | 5.85 | 0.45 | 1.29 | 7.28 | 5.73 | 16.51 | 3.81 | 0.34 | 0.98 | 6.38 | 0.70 | 2.01 | 6.39 |
| S at same level of M | 1.06 | 3.06 | | 0.64 | 1.83 | | 8.11 | 23.35 | | 0.48 | 1.38 | | 0.99 | 2.85 | |
| S at same or different level of M | 1.02 | 3.19 | | 0.66 | 2.17 | | 7.90 | 25.04 | | 0.46 | 1.41 | | 0.94 | 2.90 | |

Table-3: Effect of microbial bio-inoculant (VAM- *Glomus fasciculatum*) and bio-formulations on curcumin and volatile oil content in turmeric cv. Salem

| Treatment | Curcumin content (%) | | Mean | Volatile oil (%) | | Mean |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------|------------------|----------------|-----------|
| | M ₁ | M ₂ | | M ₁ | M ₂ | |
| | | | | | | |
| T ₁ | 3.89 | 3.63 | 3.76 | 0.93 | 0.89 | 0.91 |
| T ₂ | 4.04 | 4.00 | 4.02 | 0.99 | 0.92 | 0.96 |
| T ₃ | 4.08 | 4.07 | 4.07 | 1.03 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| T ₄ | 4.18 | 4.12 | 4.15 | 1.19 | 1.05 | 1.12 |
| T ₅ | 4.42 | 4.27 | 4.35 | 1.25 | 1.05 | 1.15 |
| T ₆ | 4.57 | 4.33 | 4.45 | 1.26 | 1.05 | 1.16 |
| T ₇ | 4.78 | 4.38 | 4.58 | 1.26 | 1.04 | 1.15 |
| T ₈ | 4.86 | 4.41 | 4.64 | 1.29 | 1.09 | 1.19 |
| T ₉ | 4.96 | 4.45 | 4.70 | 1.34 | 1.15 | 1.24 |
| Mean | 4.42 | 4.19 | | 1.17 | 1.02 | |
| For comparison of mean | S.Em | C.D. | CV | S.Em | C.D. | CV |
| | ± | at 5% | (%) | ± | at 5% | (%) |
| M | 0.02 | 0.14 | 2.83 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 7.75 |
| S | 0.06 | 0.18 | 3.52 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 11.03 |
| S at same level of M | 0.09 | 0.25 | | 0.07 | 0.20 | |
| S at same or different level of M | 0.09 | 0.27 | | 0.07 | 0.21 | |

In table 1 to 3: Main plot (M); M₁: With VAM M₂: Without VAM; Sub plot treatments (S); T₁: RDF (180:90:90 kg NPK/ha and 25 t FYM/ha); T₂: T₁+ Vermiwash at 10% dilution (Drenching); T₃: T₁+Panchagavyaat 3% dilution (Drenching); T₄: T₁+Amritpaniat 3% dilution (Drenching); T₅: T₁+ Vermiwash at 10% dilution (Drenching and spraying); T₆: T₁+Panchagavya at 3% dilution (Drenching and spraying); T₇: T₁+Amritpani at 3% dilution (Drenching and spraying); T₈: T₁+ Panchagavya + Amritpani + Mulch (Sugar cane trash) + *Trichoderma* (2.5 %) spray on mulch; T₉: T₈ + Panchagavya + Amritpani+ Agnihotra ash+Triambakamhomaash

ash mediated to increase root geometry, nutrient mineralization, resulting in the development of sound and healthy rhizosphere with increased extramatrix hyphae which might have further contributed to improved growth resulting in increased nutrient uptake, photosynthesis and bio-chemical activities. The results obtained in the present investigation are in agreement with earlier findings (Padmapriya et al., 2007 and Rajamani et al., 2007 in turmeric).

Yield and yield attributes: The fresh rhizome yield (yield/ plant, yield/ plot and yield/ hectare) and yield attributes such as number of rhizomes per clump and rhizome clump size were higher in plants receiving VAM application compared to the uninoculated control.

Among sub plot treatments T₉ showed higher yield attributes followed by T₈ compared to the T₁ (RDF). The number of rhizomes per clump significantly varied among treatments from 40.40 (T₉) to 21.64 (T₁). Similarly rhizome clump size varied from 20.54 cm² (T₉) to 11.86 cm² (T₁). The fresh rhizome yield was maximum in T₉ (31.03 t/ha) closely followed by T₈ (30.03 t/ha). Among interactions, the treatment combination T₉M₁ showed higher yield and yield attributes compared to rest of the treatments. Inoculation of VAM must have helped to increase the mineral phosphorous uptake in the plant and might have resulted in higher fresh rhizome yield. Similar result is reported in earlier findings in black pepper by Thanuja (2002). The good response of AM fungi in turmeric cv. Sugana was also reported by Reddy et al. (2003) in turmeric. The increased fresh rhizome yield and yield attributes in the treatment T₉ might be due to higher growth attributes (source) leading to formation of higher sink capacity and accumulation of more carbohydrates. It is also reported that, the rhizome treated with *Trichoderma* sp. also produces plant hormones and enzymes and thereby promotes plant growth and yield in turmeric (Sivaprasad, 2002). The results obtained in the present investigation are in agreement with the findings of Padmapriya et al. (2007), Velmurugan et al. (2008) in turmeric and Vanilarasu and Balakrishnamurthy in banana (2014).

Quality attributes: The different bioformulations treatments with combination of VAM (*Glomus fasciculatum*) significantly influenced the quality attributes in turmeric (Table 3). The quality parameters like curcumin and volatile oil content were higher in T₉ followed by T₈ compared to the lowest in the treatment T₁. Higher curcumin and essential oil content might be due to the higher vigorous growth, crop duration and higher dry matter accumulation in rhizomes. Besides, bio-inoculants and bio-formulations also increased 'P' nutrient uptake, photosynthesis, source sink relationship and biochemical activities in turmeric (Roy and Hore, 2011). Similar reports were also corroborated by earlier findings in turmeric by Chempakam *et al.* (2000) and Padmapriya *et al.* (2007) and in guava by Sharma *et al.* (2013). It was reported that, increase in curcumin and volatile oil content was due to increased activity of phenyl ammonia lyase enzyme which involved in curcumin biosynthesis. Uphadhyay and Mishra (1999) also opined that, greater uptake of nutrients increased the essential oil and curcumin content in turmeric rhizome.

Even though turmeric is a nutrient exhaustive crop, the results of the present investigation confirm possibility of production of turmeric with maximum yield and good quality produce by applying *G. fasciculatum* and bioformulations as against applying only synthetic fertilizers.

References

- Borea, J.M.: Vesicular arbuscularmycorrhizae as modifiers of soil fertilizer. *Advanced Soil Sci.*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 15: 2-31 (1991).
- Chempakam, B., Zachariah, T. J. and Babu, L.: Development of curcumin content in turmeric rhizomes at different stages of rhizome growth. In : Centennial Conference on Spices and Aromatic Plants Research and Development, IISR, Calicut held on 27 to 30th Sep., 2000. p. 173-177 (2000).
- Jackson, K. M. and Brown, M. E.: Behaviour of *Azotobacterchroococcum* introduced into the plant rhizosphere. *Ann. Inst. Pastern Paris.*, 3: 108-112 (1966).
- Kale, R. N., Bano, K. and Satyavati, G. P.: Influence of vermicompost application on growth and yield of cereals, vegetables and ornamental plants. Final report of KSCSI Project, 34B: 3478 (1991).
- Kumar, G. S.: Association of VAM fungi in some spices and condiments. *J. Ecobiol.*, 16: 113-118(2004).
- Padmapriya, S., Chezhiyan, N. and Sathiyamurthy, V. A.: Effect of shade and integrated nutrient management on biochemical constituents of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.). *J. Hort. Sci.*, 2: 123-129 (2007).
- Rajamani, K., Kamalkumar, R., Shoba, N. and Balakrishnamurthy, G.: Influence of organic manure and bio-regulates on growth and yield of turmeric var. BSR-2. *South Indian Hort.*, 55: 170-178(2007).
- Reddy, M. N., Devi, M. C. and Sreedevi, N. V.: Biological control of rhizome rot of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) caused by *Fusariumsolani*. *J. Bio. Control.*, 17: 193-195(2003).
- Roy, S. S. and Hore, J. K.: Effect of different bio-organic inputs on growth, yield and economics of turmeric grown as intercrop in arecanut plantation. *Indian J. Hort.*, 68: 375-378(2011).
- Sharma, A., Wali, V. K., Bakshi, P. and Jasrotia, A.: Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on quality and shelf life of guava (*Psidiumguajava* L.) cv. Sardar. *The Bioscan.*, 8: 1247-1250 (2013).
- Sivaprasad, P.: Potential of bioinoculants for sustainable agriculture. In. Proc. of First State Level Research Extension Interface. Department of Agriculture, Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram, pp. 62-65 (2002).
- Srivastava, S. K., Rawat, A. K. and Mehrotra, S., 2003, Authentication of Amraharidra from different herbal drug markets of India. Second World Congress on Biotechnological Development of Herbal Medicine, NBRI, Lucknow, p. 115.
- Thanuja, T. V.: Arbuscularmycorrhiza: A low cost technology of pepper nurseries. *Spice India*, 15: 10-14 (2002).
- Tinker, P. B.: Effect of VA mycorrhiza on higher plants. 29th Symp. Expt. Biol. D. G. Jennings and D. L. Lee (Eds.), Cambridge Univ. Press, London, pp. 325-349 (1975).
- Uphadhyay, D. C. and Mishra, R. S.: Nutritional study of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* Linn.) cv. Roma under agro-climatic conditions of Eastern Uttar Pradesh. *Prog. Hort.*, 31: 214-218 (1999).
- Vanilarasu, K. and Balakrishnamurthy, G.: Influences of organic manures and amendments in soilphysiochemical properties and their impact on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of banana. *The Bioscan.*, 9: 525-529 (2014).
- Velmurugan, M., Chezhiyan, N. and Jawaharlal, M.: Influence of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on cured rhizome yield and quality of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) cv. BSR-2. *Int. J. Agric. Sci.*, 4: 142-145 (2008).